

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. 659-2019-DV-00341

In the Matter of Katherine Albrecht v. Dana Albrecht

Location: 9th Circuit - Family Division -
Nashua
Filed on: 11/12/2019
Protective Order Number: 6591910341

CASE INFORMATION

Related Cases

659-2016-DM-00288 (Information Only Cross Reference)

Case Type: Domestic Violence Petition

Statistical Closures

12/30/2019 Case Closed

Case: 05/23/2022 Appeal to
Status: Supreme Court

DATE	CASE ASSIGNMENT
	<p>Current Case Assignment</p> <p>Case Number 659-2019-DV-00341 Court 9th Circuit - Family Division - Nashua Date Assigned 11/12/2019</p>

PARTY INFORMATION

Plaintiff	Albrecht, Katherine <i>** Confidential Address **</i>	Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>Retained</i> 603-883-8723(F) 603-883-0797(W) <i>Welts White & Fontaine,</i> <i>PC</i> 29 Factory Street P.O. Box 507 Nashua, NH 03061-0507 mfontaine@lawyersnh.com
Defendant	Albrecht, Dana 131 D.W. Hwy #235 Nashua, NH 03060	Pro Se 131 D.W. Hwy #235 Nashua, NH 03060 dana.albrecht@hushmail.com

Child Albrecht, Grace

Abreent, Grace

Albrecht, Sophie

Nashua Police Department
PO Box 785
Nashua, NH 03061

Attorney - OTHER

Denny, Catherine Anne, ESQ
for DDK
NH Attorney General's Office - DOJ
33 Capitol St Ste 336
Concord, NH 03301-6397
Removed: 11/16/2023
Party Dismissed

Landers, Edwin F., ESQ
for Kathleen Sternenberg
Morrison Mahoney LLP

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. 659-2019-DV-00341

250 Summer Street
 Boston, MA 02210-1181
 Removed: 11/15/2023
 Party Dismissed

Smith, Linda M., ESQ
 for Kathleen Sternenberg
Morrison Mahoney LLP
650 Elm St Ste 201
Manchester, NH 03101
 Removed: 11/15/2023
 Party Dismissed

DATE	EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT	INDEX
11/12/2019	Domestic Violence Petition Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>filed on behalf of his client that lives in CA</i>	<i>Index #1</i>
11/12/2019	Confidential Information Sheet -CONFIDENTIAL-	<i>Index #2</i>
11/12/2019	Defendant Information Sheet for Law Enforcement	<i>Index #3</i>
11/12/2019	UCCJEA Affidavit	<i>Index #4</i>
11/12/2019	Appearance Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ	<i>Index #5</i>
11/12/2019	Objection <i>to Domestic Violence Petition</i>	<i>Index #6</i>
11/12/2019	No Temp Order Issued/Final Hearing (Judicial Officer: McIntyre, Erin B)	<i>Index #7</i>
11/12/2019	DVP Transmittal Letter/Fax <i>faxed no temp to PD's</i>	<i>Index #8</i>
11/14/2019	Appearance Party: Attorney Caulfield, Joseph, ESQ <i>for def</i>	<i>Index #9</i>
11/19/2019	Motion to Consolidate Party: Attorney Caulfield, Joseph, ESQ <i>Defendant's Motion to Consolidate for Hearing</i>	<i>Index #10</i>
12/02/2019	Objection Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>Plaintiff's Objection to Defendant's Motion to Consolidate for Hearing</i>	<i>Index #15</i>
12/04/2019	Denied (Judicial Officer: Derby, Mark S) <i>Parties cautioned that 12-9-19 hearing is scheduled for 30 min & double-booked with another DV case, and should plan accordingly.</i>	
12/04/2019	Notice of Decision <i>mailed to parties</i>	<i>Index #16</i>
11/19/2019	Answer Party: Attorney Caulfield, Joseph, ESQ <i>Defendant's Answer to Domestic Violence Petition</i>	<i>Index #11</i>
11/27/2019	Motion Party: Attorney Caulfield, Joseph, ESQ	<i>Index #12</i>

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. 659-2019-DV-00341

Defendant's Motion for Court to Take Judicial Notice

11/27/2019	Motion Party: Attorney Caulfield, Joseph, ESQ <i>Defendant's Expedited Motion to Permit David Albrecht to Testify Telephonically</i>	Index #13
12/06/2019	Granted (Judicial Officer: Derby, Mark S) <i>Granted as to 12/9/19 hearing</i>	
11/27/2019	Motion Party: Attorney Caulfield, Joseph, ESQ <i>Defendant's Expedited Motion to Permit Hildreth Y. Grossman, PH.D to Testify Telephonically</i>	Index #14
12/06/2019	Granted (Judicial Officer: Derby, Mark S) <i>Granted as to 12/9/19 hearing</i>	
12/05/2019	Objection Party: Attorney Caulfield, Joseph, ESQ <i>Defendant's Replication to Plaintiff's Objection to Defendant's Motion to Consolidate for Hearing</i>	Index #17
12/06/2019	Notice of Decision <i>#13 and #14 granted - call in instructions provided to defendant's attorney</i>	Index #18
12/06/2019	Motion Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>Plaintiff's Expedited Motion to Permit Denise Ballnik to Testify Telephonically</i>	Index #19
12/09/2019	Granted (Judicial Officer: Derby, Mark S)	
12/09/2019	Final Hearing Domestic Violence (Judicial Officer: Derby, Mark S)	
12/09/2019	Objection Party: Plaintiff Albrecht, Katherine <i>(plf) to motion for court to take judicial notice</i>	Index #20
12/11/2019	Final Hearing Domestic Violence (Judicial Officer: Derby, Mark S)	
12/11/2019	Order (Judicial Officer: Derby, Mark S) <i>on scheduling final hearing in Merrimack Family Court on 12/20/19 @ 8:30</i>	Index #21
12/20/2019	Final Hearing Domestic Violence (Judicial Officer: Derby, Mark S)	
12/20/2019	Memorandum of Law Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>defendant</i>	Index #22
12/20/2019	Findings of Fact/Rulings of Law Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>defendant</i>	Index #23
12/30/2019	Domestic Violence Final Order Granted (Judicial Officer: Derby, Mark S)	Index #24
12/30/2019	DVP Transmittal Letter/Fax <i>Faxed to NCIC, PD(s) for service</i>	Index #25
12/30/2019	Notice of Appellate Rights	Index #26
12/30/2019	Notice of Decision	Index #27

CASE SUMMARY
CASE NO. 659-2019-DV-00341

mailed to all parties

12/30/2019	Domestic Violence Final Orders (Judicial Officer: Derby, Mark S)	
01/09/2020	Motion Party: Attorney Caulfield, Joseph, ESQ <i>Defendant's Ex Parte Motion to Modify</i>	<i>Index #28</i>
01/09/2020	Objection Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>Plaintiff's Objection to Defendant's Ex Parte Motion to Modify</i>	<i>Index #33</i>
01/10/2020	Objection Party: Attorney Caulfield, Joseph, ESQ <i>Defendant's Replication to Plaintiff's Objection to Defendant's Ex Parte Motion to Modify</i>	<i>Index #36</i>
01/17/2020	Objection Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>Plaintiff's Supplement Objection to Defendant's Ex Parte Motion to Modify</i>	<i>Index #41</i>
01/24/2020	Response Party: Attorney Caulfield, Joseph, ESQ <i>Defendant's Replication to Plaintiff's Supplemental Objection to Defendant's Ex- Parte Motion to Modify</i>	<i>Index #44</i>
01/10/2020	Denied (Judicial Officer: Derby, Mark S) <i>Denied on an emergency ex parte basis. Plaintiff has until 1-20-2020 to file a more thorough objection if she wishes. If she wishes to stand on the existing objection, she shall notify the court.</i>	
01/10/2020	Notice of Decision <i>mailed to parties</i>	<i>Index #37</i>
01/09/2020	Motion to Reconsider Party: Attorney Caulfield, Joseph, ESQ <i>Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration</i>	<i>Index #29</i>
01/17/2020	Objection Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>Plaintiff's Objection to Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration</i>	<i>Index #40</i>
01/24/2020	Response Party: Attorney Caulfield, Joseph, ESQ <i>Defendant's Replication to Plaintiff's Objection to Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration</i>	<i>Index #45</i>
01/27/2020	Denied (Judicial Officer: Derby, Mark S)	
01/09/2020	Transcript Party: Attorney Caulfield, Joseph, ESQ <i>of the DV Final Hearing on Dec 9, 2019 (day 1)</i>	<i>Index #30</i>
01/09/2020	Transcript Party: Attorney Caulfield, Joseph, ESQ <i>of DV Final Hearing on Dec 11, 2019 (day 2)</i>	<i>Index #31</i>
01/09/2020	Transcript Party: Attorney Caulfield, Joseph, ESQ <i>of DV Final Hearing on Dec 20, 2019 (day 3)</i>	<i>Index #32</i>

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. 659-2019-DV-00341

01/09/2020	Parenting Plan (Judicial Officer: Quigley, Patricia B) <i>from case # 659-2016-DM-288 Dated 9/1/2017</i>	Index #34
01/09/2020	DVP Transmittal Letter/Fax <i>faxed copy of parenting plan to NCIC</i>	Index #35
01/14/2020	Return of Service <i>Final Orders</i>	Index #38
01/15/2020	DVP Transmittal Letter/Fax <i>faxed to NCIC</i>	Index #39
01/27/2020	Order (Judicial Officer: Derby, Mark S) <i>on Post-Trial Motions (#28, #29) The ex parte motion to Modify (#28) is Denied for the reasons set forth in the plaintiff's objections. Motion to Reconsider (#29), that is also denied for the reasons set forth in the plaintiff's objection. Finally, and turning to the broader issue of the plaintiff's fear. RSA 633:3-a, I contains both an objective standard (RSA 633:3-a, I(a)) and a subjective standard (RSA 633:3-a,I(b)). Therefore, even if a reasonable person at the church on Nov 3, 2019 would not have felt in fear of his or her safety, if the defendant knew that his conduct would cause the plaintiff or the children to be in fear of their safety, that is sufficient to constitute stalking. Regardless of whether or not that fear is the result of a mental health experience, the court find that the plaintiff clearly knew that tracking of the plaintiff and the children to the church, refusing multiple lawful orders to leave, and then watching the church from the parking lot for the bulk of the day, would cause the petitioner to fear for her safety. Motions denied.</i>	Index #42
01/27/2020	Notice of Decision <i>mailed to parties</i>	Index #43
01/30/2020	Email-Address Notification or Change Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>defendant</i>	Index #46
02/07/2020	Returned Mail <i>plaintiff</i>	Index #47
02/25/2020	Notice of Appeal to Supreme Court <i>Rule 7 Notice of Mandatory Appeal</i>	Index #48
04/03/2020	Notice of Appeal to Supreme Court <i>Case is accepted. Case # 2020-0118</i>	Index #49
06/22/2020	Supreme Court Order <i># 2020-0118 Having considered the brief, memorandum of law, reply brief and record submitted on appeal, we conclude that oral argument is unnecessary in the case. We Affirm MANDATE 8/14/20</i>	Index #50
08/17/2020	Supreme Court Order <i>Supreme Court Rule 22(2) provides that a party filing a motion for rehearing or reconsideration shall state with particularity the points of law or fact that he claims the court has overlooked or misapprehended. We have reviewed the claims made in the motion for a rehearing and reconsideration, and we conclude that no points of law or fact were overlooked or misapprehended in our decision. Accordingly upon reconsideration, we affirm our June 19, 2020 decision and deny the relief requested in the motion. Relief requested in motion for rehearing and reconsideration denied. MANDATED 8/14/20</i>	Index #51
12/18/2020	Request for Renewal of DV/Stalking Order Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>Plaintiff's Verified Motion for Extension of Domestic Violence Final Order of Protection</i>	Index #52
12/21/2020	1-year Extension DV/Stalking Protective Order (Judicial Officer: Curran, John A)	Index #53

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. 659-2019-DV-00341

12/21/2020	DVP Transmittal Letter/Fax <i>faxed to registry and PDs</i>	<i>Index #54</i>
12/21/2020	Notice of Decision	<i>Index #55</i>
02/10/2021	Return of Service <i>on Initial Extension</i>	<i>Index #63</i>
03/01/2021	DVP Transmittal Letter/Fax <i>faxed to Registry</i>	<i>Index #64</i>
01/27/2021	Hearing (Judicial Officer: DalPra, Bruce F) <i>866-951-1151 CR: 3907180# (see #19 of 13th Renewed & Amended Emergency Order re: hearing deadline)</i>	
03/09/2021	Order on Objection to Extension of DV/Stalking Final Order (Judicial Officer: Leonard, Elizabeth M) <i>Based on the evidence presented at the hearing, the court finds that the Plaintiff showed good cause why the Extension Order is necessary pursuant to RSA 173-B:5, VI or RSA 633:3-a, III-c. Accordingly, the Extension of the Final Protective Order, that was granted on December 21, 2020, remains in effect. The Extension Order expires on Dec. 30, 2021.</i>	<i>Index #65</i>
03/09/2021	Order (Judicial Officer: Leonard, Elizabeth M) <i>from telephonic hearing held on Jan 27, 2021 on objection to the extension. This court is not persuaded that Defendant is credible in his assertion that there is no reason to extend the protective order. His past conduct and history offers to this court no reason to believe that he will refrain from his prior conduct. RECOMMENDED: I. Protective order issued on Dec 30, 2019 is hereby extended to Dec 30, 2021.</i>	<i>Index #66</i>
03/09/2021	DVP Transmittal Letter/Fax <i>faxed to Registry & PDs fyi</i>	<i>Index #67</i>
03/09/2021	Notice of Appellate Rights	<i>Index #68</i>
03/09/2021	Notice of Decision <i>mailed to parties</i>	<i>Index #69</i>
03/19/2021	Motion to Reconsider Party: Attorney Caulfield, Joseph, ESQ <i>Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration of March 9, 2021 Order</i>	<i>Index #70</i>
03/23/2021	Obj to Motion to Reconsider Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>Plaintiff's Objection to Defendant's Motion to Reconsider March 9, 2021</i>	<i>Index #72</i>
04/09/2021	Denied (Judicial Officer: Chabot, Kimberly A) <i>Recommended by BFD - The court has reviewed the motion. The court finds that its order extending the Protective Order is fully supported by the evidence. Motion for Reconsideration is denied.</i>	
04/09/2021	Notice of Decision <i>mailed to parties</i>	<i>Index #73</i>
12/21/2020	Order Issued (Judicial Officer: Curran, John A)	
12/28/2020	Objection to Extension of DV/Stalking Final Order Party: Attorney Caulfield, Joseph, ESQ	<i>Index #56</i>
01/07/2021	Objection	<i>Index #60</i>

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. 659-2019-DV-00341

Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ

Plaintiff's Objection to Defendant's Objection to Extension of DV Final Order of Protection and Request for Hearing.

01/27/2021	Hearing (Judicial Officer: DalPra, Bruce F) <i>866-951-1151 CR: 3907180# (see #19 of 13th Renewed & Amended Emergency Order re: hearing deadline)</i>	
03/09/2021	Order on Objection to Extension of DV/Stalking Final Order (Judicial Officer: Leonard, Elizabeth M) <i>Based on the evidence presented at the hearing, the court finds that the Plaintiff showed good cause why the Extension Order is necessary pursuant to RSA 173-B:5, VI or RSA 633:3-a, III-c. Accordingly, the Extension of the Final Protective Order, that was granted on December 21, 2020, remains in effect. The Extension Order expires on Dec. 30, 2021.</i>	<i>Index #65</i>
03/09/2021	Order (Judicial Officer: Leonard, Elizabeth M) <i>from telephonic hearing held on Jan 27, 2021 on objection to the extension. This court is not persuaded that Defendant is credible in his assertion that there is no reason to extend the protective order. His past conduct and history offers to this court no reason to believe that he will refrain from his prior conduct. RECOMMENDED: I. Protective order issued on Dec 30, 2019 is hereby extended to Dec 30, 2021.</i>	<i>Index #66</i>
03/09/2021	DVP Transmittal Letter/Fax <i>faxed to Registry & PDs fyi</i>	<i>Index #67</i>
03/09/2021	Notice of Appellate Rights	<i>Index #68</i>
03/09/2021	Notice of Decision <i>mailed to parties</i>	<i>Index #69</i>
03/19/2021	Motion to Reconsider Party: Attorney Caulfield, Joseph, ESQ <i>Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration of March 9, 2021 Order</i>	<i>Index #70</i>
03/23/2021	Obj to Motion to Reconsider Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>Plaintiff's Objection to Defendant's Motion to Reconsider March 9, 2021</i>	<i>Index #72</i>
04/09/2021	Denied (Judicial Officer: Chabot, Kimberly A) <i>Recommended by BFD - The court has reviewed the motion. The court finds that its order extending the Protective Order is fully supported by the evidence. Motion for Reconsideration is denied.</i>	<i>Index #74</i>
04/09/2021	Notice of Decision <i>mailed to parties</i>	<i>Index #73</i>
12/28/2020	Other Party: Attorney Caulfield, Joseph, ESQ <i>opposition to plaintiff's verified motion for extension of DV Final Order</i>	<i>Index #57</i>
12/28/2020	Motion Party: Attorney Caulfield, Joseph, ESQ <i>for defendant's counsel to appear telephonically</i>	<i>Index #58</i>
01/05/2021	Returned Mail <i>plaintiff</i>	<i>Index #59</i>
01/27/2021	Memorandum of Law Party: Attorney Caulfield, Joseph, ESQ <i>Defendant's Hearing Memorandum</i>	<i>Index #61</i>

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. 659-2019-DV-00341

01/29/2021	Other Party: Attorney Caulfield, Joseph, ESQ <i>Def - Transcript of January 27, 2021 Hearing.</i>	<i>Index #62</i>
03/23/2021	Returned Mail <i>Plt Order on Extension</i>	<i>Index #71</i>
05/07/2021	Notice of Appeal to Supreme Court Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana	<i>Index #74</i>
05/20/2021	Supreme Court Order <i>Case No. 2021-0192 Notice of Docketing and Mandatory E-Filing</i>	<i>Index #75</i>
06/07/2021	Supreme Court Order <i>Case is Accepted, processing of the case will be expedited.</i>	<i>Index #76</i>
09/02/2021	Appearance Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Def - pro se</i>	<i>Index #78</i>
09/07/2021	Withdrawal <i>atty for def - Joseph Caulfield, Esq</i>	<i>Index #77</i>
11/18/2021	Other <i>Defendant is out of town next week (11/22/2021-11/26/2021) DO NOT SCHEDULE</i>	<i>Index #79</i>
11/19/2021	Supreme Court Order <i>In Case No. 2021-0192, Katherine Albrecht v. Dana Albrecht, the court on November 10, 2021 issued the following order: . . . Accordingly, under the circumstances of this case, we exercise our supervisory jurisdiction, see RSA 490:4(2010), and remand for the limited purpose of allowing the trial court to determine whether Master DalPra was disqualified, under the circumstances of this case, from presiding over the plaintiff's request to extend the protective order. The trial court may hold a hearing if, in its discretion, it determines that a hearing would assist it in determining whether Master DalPra was disqualified. However, the trial court shall provide this court with a copy of its order on remand no later than November 30, 2021. . .</i>	<i>Index #80</i>
11/19/2021	Findings of Fact/Rulings of Law Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Request for Findings of Fact and Rulings of Law Remand</i>	<i>Index #81</i>
01/13/2022	Order (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>The Court has reviewed the defendant's Motion Request for Findings of Fact and Rulings of Law on Remand and finds there is no legal authority for the defendant's requested relief. Further, the requests are moot, as the Supreme Court issued a decision remanding this case for a new hearing. As a result of the remand, the Court will hold a new hearing before a different judicial officer who will make a decision based upon the information presented at the hearing. Accordingly, the Motion is respectfully DENIED. So ordered.</i>	<i>Index #110</i>
01/13/2022	Notice of Decision <i>mailed to parties</i>	<i>Index #111</i>
11/29/2021	Order (Judicial Officer: DalPra, Bruce F) <i>regarding disqualification. (mailed to parties/counsel; emailed to NHSC and Judge King - 11/29/21)</i>	<i>Index #82</i>
11/29/2021	Order Issued (Judicial Officer: Curran, John A)	
11/29/2021	Notice of Decision <i>I. There is no basis for disqualification. There is no subjective bias, and no requirement that the court disclose its muttered statement to the parties for any waiver of alleged bias.</i>	<i>Index #83</i>

CASE SUMMARY**CASE NO. 659-2019-DV-00341**

2. Having found no basis for disqualification, the court is required to continue to hear the present case. See Sup.Ct.R. 38, Canon 2, Rule 2.7. (mailed to parties)

12/15/2021	Supreme Court Order <i>In Case No. 2021-0192, the court on December 10, 2021 issued the following order: The transcript of the November 6, 2020 hearing held in the parties' domestic relations matter (docket no. 659-2016-DM-00288) does not include the "vulgar expression" that Master DalPra uttered during Dana Albrecht's testimony; nor does it include the "completely inappropriate" sentence that Master DalPra uttered later during Katherine Albrecht's testimony. According to Master DalPra's November 19, 2020 letter to the New Hampshire Judicial Conduct Committee, those two comments "were overheard by an eScriber transcriptionist." On or before December 20, 2021, eScribers shall prepare an amended or additional errata sheet to the transcript of the November 6, 2020 hearing so as to include and identify (with page/line) those two comments.</i>	Index #84
12/20/2021	Supreme Court Order <i>IN Case No. 2021-0192, Katherine Albrecht v. Dana Albrecht, the court on December 16, 2021, issued the following order: Having considered the briefs, memorandum of law, and record submitted on appeal, we conclude that oral argument is unnecessary in this case. . . . On appeal, the defendant raises several challenges to the trial court's orders. We vacate and remand. . . . Accordingly we vacate the trial court's orders, and remand for a new hearing on the extension of the protective order before a different judicial officer of the circuit court. . . .</i>	Index #85
12/21/2021	Motion Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Expedited Motion for Clarification of December 30, 2019 Order</i>	Index #86
01/04/2022	Objection Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>Plaintiff's Objection to Defendant's Expedited Motion for Clarification of December 30, 2019 Order</i>	Index #99
01/28/2022	Denied (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>See Order (#121 & Notice of Dec #122)</i>	
12/21/2021	Motion Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Expedited Motion for Clarification of December 21, 2020 Order</i>	Index #87
01/04/2022	Objection Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>Plaintiff's Objection to Defendant's Expedited Motion for Clarification of December 21, 2020 Order</i>	Index #98
01/28/2022	Denied (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>See Order #121 & Notice of Dec #122</i>	
12/27/2021	Notice of Hearing <i>Further Hearing 1-year Extension DV/Stalking Protective Order; Objection to Extension of DV/Stalking Final Order - mailed to parties</i>	Index #88
12/28/2021	Order (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>Order on Extension of Domestic Violence Protective Order</i>	Index #89
12/28/2021	DVP Transmittal Letter/Fax <i>faxed to Registry & Nashua PD FYI</i>	Index #90
12/28/2021	Notice of Decision <i>mailed to parties</i>	Index #91
12/28/2021	Order Issued (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P)	

CASE SUMMARY
CASE NO. 659-2019-DV-00341

12/28/2021	Appearance Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>for plt - Michael J. Fontaine, Esq</i>	Index #92
12/28/2021	Defendant Information Sheet for Law Enforcement Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>updated def info sheet</i>	Index #93
12/28/2021	Request for Renewal of DV/Stalking Order Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>request for further extension</i>	Index #94
12/28/2021	Objection to Extension of DV/Stalking Final Order Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Partial Objection to Petitioner's Request for Extension of DVPO dated 12/21/2021</i>	Index #95
12/29/2021	Order (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>The issue of whether or not to extend the protective order up to 5 years will be heard on 1/13/2022 at 9:30am. Consistent with the Supreme Court Order dated DEcember 16, 2021, the protective order is extended to January 20, 2022 pending the outcome of the hearing.</i>	Index #96
12/29/2021	Notice of Decision <i>mailed to parties</i>	Index #97
01/04/2022	Motion Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Expedited Motion to Amend Opposition and Objection to Plaintiff's Request for One Year Renewal of DV/Stalking Order</i>	Index #100
01/10/2022	Denied (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>Defendant's Expedited Motion to Amend Opposition (doc #57) and Objection (doc #56) to Plaintiff's Request for One-Year Renewal of DV/Stalking Order (doc #52) - The Supreme Court has remanded this matter for a new hearing. Accordingly, the motion is respectfully denied. The attachments to the motion are rejected as they were filed in violation of Fam.Div.R. 1.26(B)(1). If the exhibits are not retrieved by January 24, 2022, the exhibits will be destroyed. So Ordered. *exhibits p/u 1/24/22 by Def</i>	Index #101
01/10/2022	Notice of Decision <i>mailed to parties</i>	Index #105
01/05/2022	Motion Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's expedited Motion for Bifurcated Hearing (or Separated Hearings) on Plaintiff's Request for One-Year Renewal and Plaintiff's Request for Five-Year Renewal of DV/Stalking Order</i>	Index #101
01/10/2022	Objection Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>Plaintiff's Limited Objection to Defendant's Expedited Motion for Bifurcated Hearing (or Separate Hearings), and Plaintiff's Expedited Request for a Continuance o fthe January 12, 2022 Hearing.</i>	Index #108
01/28/2022	Denied (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>See Order # 121 and Notice of Decision #122</i>	
01/06/2022	Supreme Court Certificate <i>MANDATE Certified and Issued as Mandate Under NH Sup. Ct. R.24 Clerk/Deputy Clerk 1/4/22. In case No. 2021-0192, KA v DA, the court on December 16, 2021, issued the following order: . . . Under these circumstances, we conclude that it was error for the judicial officer to have presided over the hearing in this case. Accordingly, we vacate that trial court's orders, and remand for a</i>	Index #102

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. 659-2019-DV-00341

new hearing on the extension of the protective order before a different judicial officer of the circuit court. . . the protective order shall remain in place pending the outcome of the new hearing. . . Vacated and Remanded.

01/06/2022	Motion Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>Plaintiff's Motion to Take Judicial Notice of Past Testimony, Evidence, Arguments, and Past Decisions of this Court.</i>	Index #103
01/10/2022	Granted in Part (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>Request B is granted per NH.R. Evid.201(b). Request A is respectfully Denied. the attachments to the motion are rejected as they were filed in violation of Fam.Div.R. 1.26 (B) (1). If exhibits are not retrieved by January 24, 2022, the exhibits will be destroyed.</i>	
01/10/2022	Notice of Decision <i>mailed to parties</i>	Index #106
01/07/2022	Motion to Reconsider Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Expedited Motion for Reconsideration of December 28, 2021 Order on Extension of Domestic Violence Protective Order</i>	Index #104
01/10/2022	Objection Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>Plaintiff's Objection to Defendant's Expedited Motion for Reconsideration of December 28, 2021 Order on Extension of Domestic Violence Protective Order</i>	Index #109
01/28/2022	Denied (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>See Order #121 & Notice of Decision #122</i>	
01/10/2022	Motion to Continue Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Expedited Motion to Continue January 13, 2022 Hearing</i>	Index #107
01/14/2022	Order (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>Order on Defendant's Expedited Motion to Continue January 13, 2022 Hearing - . . . Therefore the Court orders as follows: 1) The January 13, 2022 Hearing is continued and rescheduled to February 18, 2022 at 1:00 pm after the hearing in the marital matter(659-2016-DM-00288) and 2) Consistent with the Supreme court order remanding this matter, the domestic violence order of protection is hereby extended to February 25 2022 pending the outcome of the hearing. So ordered.</i>	Index #112
01/14/2022	DVP Transmittal Letter/Fax <i>faxed to Registry & PD</i>	Index #113
01/14/2022	Notice of Decision <i>mailed to parties w/ NOH</i>	Index #114
01/11/2022	Hearing <i>Telephonic Hearing PH 1 866 951 1151 CR 3907180# ALL PARTIES CALLING IN - INCLUDING J. RAUSEO</i>	
01/13/2022	CANCELED Further Hearing <i>Plaintiff to appear via Webex</i>	
01/20/2022	Motion to Reconsider Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Expedited Motion for Reconsideration and/or Clarification of January 10, 2022 Order (Doc #106)</i>	Index #115
01/28/2022	Objection	Index #120

CASE SUMMARY**CASE NO. 659-2019-DV-00341**

Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ

Plaintiff's Objection to Defendant's Expedited Motion for Reconsideration and/or Clarification of January 10, 2022 Order (Doc #106)

01/24/2022	Motion to Reconsider Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Motion for Limited Reconsideration of January 10, 2022 Order (Doc #103, Doc# 106)</i>	Index #116
01/28/2022	Objection Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>Plaintiff's Objection to Defendant's Motion for Limited Reconsideration of January 10, 2022 Order (Doc#103, Doc #106)</i>	Index #119
02/04/2022	Denied (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>The Court has not overlooked or misapprehended points of law or fact.</i>	
01/24/2022	Motion to Reconsider Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration of January 13, 0222 Order (Doc #110)</i>	Index #117
01/31/2022	Objection Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>Plaintiff's Objection to Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration of January 13, 2022 Order (#110)</i>	Index #123
02/03/2022	Denied (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>The Court has not overlooked or misapprehended points of law or fact.</i>	
01/24/2022	Motion to Reconsider Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration and Clarification of January 14, 2022 Order (Doc #112)</i>	Index #118
01/31/2022	Objection Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>Plaintiff's Objection to Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration and Clarification fo January 14, 2022 Order (Doc #112)</i>	Index #124
02/03/2022	Denied (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>The Court has not overlooked or misapprehended points of law or fact.</i>	
01/28/2022	Order (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>Order on Pending Motions: (#86, #87, #101, #104)</i>	Index #121
01/28/2022	Notice of Decision <i>mailed to parties</i>	Index #122
02/04/2022	Notice of Decision <i>on Motions #117 and #118</i>	Index #125
02/04/2022	Notice of Decision <i>on Motion #116</i>	Index #126
02/08/2022	Motion Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Motion for Interlocutory Appellate Review (N.H. Sup. Ct. R.8)</i>	Index #127
02/22/2022	Denied (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>Motion denied. For the reasons stated in the objection and the mootness as the hearing was completed on 2/18/22</i>	

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. 659-2019-DV-00341

02/08/2022	Other Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Interlocutory Appeal Statement with APPENDIX-N.H. Sup. Ct. R. 8(2)</i>	Index #128
02/09/2022	Assented to Motion to Continue Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Feb 18, 2022 DV Hearing</i>	Index #129
02/14/2022	Denied (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P)	
02/11/2022	Response Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>Assented-To Plaintiff's Reply/Supplement to Defendant's Assesnted-To Motion to Continue Feb 18, 2022 DV Hearing.</i>	Index #130
02/14/2022	Order (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>on Defendant's Expedited Motion to Continue Feb 18, 2022. (DENIED)</i>	Index #131
02/14/2022	Returned Mail <i>plt - Notice of Decision (#116) Return to Sender - Vacant Unable to Forward</i>	Index #144
02/16/2022	Motion to Reconsider Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Ex Parte Motion for Reconsideration of Feb 14, 2022 Order (doc# 129) and to Continue the Feb 18, 2022 DV Hearing</i>	Index #132
02/17/2022	Notice of Decision (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>mailed to parties, call to plt atty & def to advise that hearing still scheduled for 2/18/22</i>	Index #133
02/18/2022	Further Hearing (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P)	
02/18/2022	Proposed Order Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>Proposed Order on Plaintiff's verified Motion for Extension of DVPO on Remand - (see paragraph #2) motion dated 12/18/2020 to extend DVPO</i>	Index #134
02/18/2022	Findings of Fact/Rulings of Law Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>Plaintiff's Request for Findings of Fact and Rulings of Law</i>	Index #135
02/18/2022	Objection Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>Plaintiff's Objection to Defendant's Motion for Interlocutory Appeal (obj to # 127)</i>	Index #136
02/18/2022	Proposed Order Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>Proposed Order on Plaintiff's Request for Extension of DVPO (See paragraph#2 - Motion dated December 28, 2021</i>	Index #139
02/22/2022	Notice of Decision <i>on motion for interlocutory appeal (#127)</i>	Index #137
02/22/2022	Findings of Fact/Rulings of Law Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Request for Findings of Fact and Rulings of Law - Further Hearing 2/18/22</i>	Index #138
02/25/2022	Order on Objection to Extension of DV/Stalking Final Order (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>Based on the evidence presented at the hearing, the court finds that the Plaintiff showed good</i>	Index #140

CASE SUMMARY**CASE NO. 659-2019-DV-00341**

cause why the Extension Order is necessary, pursuant to RSA 173-B:5, VI or RSA 633:3-a, III-c. Accordingly, the Extension of the Final Protective Order remains in effect. The Extension Order expires on February 25, 2023.

02/25/2022	Order (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>Order on Extension of Domestic Violence Protective Order</i>	Index #141
02/25/2022	DVP Transmittal Letter/Fax <i>faxed to Registry & PD for service</i>	Index #142
02/25/2022	Notice of Decision <i>mailed to parties</i>	Index #143
02/25/2022	Returned Mail <i>plt - Notice of Decision - Assented Motion to Cont - Return to Sender - Not Deliverable as addressed Unable to Forward</i>	Index #145
02/28/2022	Motion Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>Plaintiff's Motion for Court to Accept Additional Evidence for the Hearing on Extension of Domestic Violence Final Order of Protection Held on February 18, 2022</i>	Index #146
04/22/2022	Denied (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P)	
03/07/2022	Motion to Reconsider Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration of Feb 25, 2022 Order on Extension of DVPO</i>	Index #147
03/09/2022	Obj to Motion to Reconsider Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>Plaintiff's Objection to Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration on Feb 25, 2022 Order on Extension of DVPO</i>	Index #148
03/14/2022	Return of Service <i>on Order on the Objection to the Extension of DVPO & Narrative Order</i>	Index #149
03/14/2022	DVP Transmittal Letter/Fax <i>faxed to Registry</i>	Index #150
03/14/2022	Other Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>United States Supreme Court Order granting application no.21A840 to extnd the time to file a petition for a writ of certiorari from March 16, 2022 to May 15, 2022. (Justice Stephen Breyer)</i>	Index #151
04/22/2022	Notice of Decision <i>on #146 - Plt motion for Court to Accept Additional Evidence for the Hearing on Extension of Domestic Violence Final Order of Protection Held February 18, 2022</i>	Index #152
04/22/2022	Order (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>Order on Motion For Reconsideration (#147) The Court has reviewed the defendant's March 7, 2022 Motion for Reconsideration of Feb 25, 2022 Order on Extension of DVPO (Index#147) and the petitioner's March 9, 2022 Objection (Index#148). For the reasons that follow, the defendant's motion is respectfully DENIED . . . Because the Court has not found issues of fact or law that need to be remedied within its Order, the Motion to Reonsider is denied.</i>	Index #153
04/22/2022	Notice of Decision <i>mailed to parties</i>	Index #154
05/19/2022	Other Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Service copy of qquestions presented to the Supreme Court of the United States, No. 21-7894, in</i>	Index #155

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. 659-2019-DV-00341

Petition for a Writ of Certiorari, filed May 14, 2022

05/23/2022	Other Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana RULE 7 NOTICE OF MANDATORY APPEAL	<i>Index #156</i>
06/03/2022	Supreme Court Order <i>In Case No. 2022-0284, KA v DA, the clerk of court on June 1, 2022, issued the following order: Notice of Docketing and Mandatory E-Filing On May 23, 2022, the defendant filed a notice of appear, which has been docketed in this court as case number 2022-0284. This case is governed by the Supplemental Rules of the Supreme Court of New Hampshire for Electronic Filing. . . . Each attorney, self-represented party, and nonlawyer representative who is not already registered with the Supreme Court e-filing system must register and electronically file an appearance on or before June 13, 2022. It appears that all persons on the distribution list of this order have already registered. . . . The court will conventionally send orders and notices to the mailing address of any party who is not required to file electronically or who has requested an exemption from the requirement of e-filing. See Supplemental Rule 18(e); see also Rule 26(9) Timothy A. Gudas, Clerk</i>	<i>Index #157</i>
07/28/2022	Supreme Court Order <i>Case No. 2022-0284. the court on July 26, 2022 issued: Case is accepted.</i>	<i>Index #158</i>
10/14/2022	Request for Certificate or Copy Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>def request All hearing notice(s), ORDERS and NOD from 12/20/2021 to present.</i>	<i>Index #159</i>
02/06/2023	Request for Renewal of DV/Stalking Order Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ	<i>Index #160</i>
02/06/2023	Defendant Information Sheet for Law Enforcement	<i>Index #161</i>
02/06/2023	UCCJEA Affidavit	<i>Index #162</i>
02/06/2023	Appearance Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>plt</i>	<i>Index #163</i>
02/07/2023	Confidential Information Sheet -CONFIDENTIAL-	<i>Index #164</i>
02/24/2023	1-year Extension DV/Stalking Protective Order (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>EXT to 2/24/2024</i>	<i>Index #177</i>
02/24/2023	DVP Transmittal Letter/Fax <i>faxed to NCIC and PD's</i>	<i>Index #178</i>
02/24/2023	Notice of Decision	<i>Index #179</i>
02/07/2023	Motion Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's EX PARTE Motion to Stay and for Explanation of December 21, 2020 Extension</i>	<i>Index #165</i>
02/07/2023	Objection Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>Plaintiff's Objection to Defendant's Ex Parte Motion to Stay and For Explanation of December 21, 2020 Extension</i>	<i>Index #166</i>
02/07/2023	Ex Parte Order (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>Request for ex parte orders is denied. No hearing is required.</i>	<i>Index #167</i>
02/17/2023	Motion to Reconsider	<i>Index #170</i>

CASE SUMMARY
CASE NO. 659-2019-DV-00341

Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana
Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration of Ex Parte Order (#167)

02/24/2023	Denied (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>The Court has not overlooked nor misapprehended any points of fact or law</i>	
02/16/2023	Objection <i>Defendant's Objection to Plaintiff's Request for 5 Year Extension (#160)</i>	Index #168
02/16/2023	Other Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Interrogatories to Plaintiff First Set</i>	Index #169
02/21/2023	Motion to Amend Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>Plaintiff's Motion to Amend Request for Extension of Domestic Violence Final Protective Order</i>	Index #171
02/24/2023	Granted (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>DV Protocol 6-11 allows for amendment to pleading with notice to the defendant. Defendant received notice and filed a response.</i>	
02/21/2023	Objection Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>Plaintiff's Objection to Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration of Ex Parte Order (#167) and Request for Attorney's Fees</i>	Index #172
02/21/2023	Other Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>Plaintiff's Replication to Defendant's Objection to Plaintiffs' Request for Five Year Extension (#160)</i>	Index #173
02/23/2023	Motion to Strike Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Motion to Amend Request for Extension of DVPO</i>	Index #174
02/24/2023	Denied (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>DV Protocol 6.11 allows for amendment of pleadings.</i>	
02/23/2023	Other Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's First Set of Requests for Admissions</i>	Index #175
02/24/2023	Other Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Second Set of Requests for Admissions</i>	Index #176
02/28/2023	Motion Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>Plaintiff's Expedited Motion for Protective Order and to Strike Defendant's 1st and 2nd Sets of Requests for Admissions</i>	Index #180
03/10/2023	Objection Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Objection to Plaintiff's Expedited Motion for Protective Order and to Strike Defendant's First and Second Sets of Requests for Admissions</i>	Index #181
03/17/2023	Granted (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>as to Request A & B. The Court finds the discovery requests are too remote, unduly burdensome, oppressive or/and not material to the subject matter of the litigation or reasonably calculated to the discovery of admissible evidence.</i>	

CASE SUMMARY
CASE NO. 659-2019-DV-00341

03/20/2023	Motion for Clarification Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Ex Parte Motion for Clarification of Order on Further Extension Dated Feb 24, 2024</i>	Index #182
03/24/2023	Denied (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>The extension states the Court found, based upon the plaintiff's representations, that good cause exists to extend the order.</i>	
03/24/2023	Motion Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>to Allow Petitioner to Appear by Webex or Telephonic at March 30, 2023 Hearing</i>	Index #183
03/29/2023	Granted (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P)	
03/27/2023	Motion to Compel Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Expedited Motion to Compel Production of Letter from Dr. Rana Bilbeisi dated March 23, 2023</i>	Index #184
03/28/2023	Granted (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>The Plaintiff shall also produce a copy to the Court for review as part of motion to appear by Webex or Telephonically (183)</i>	
03/29/2023	Letter Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>from Karmanos Cancer Institute</i>	Index #190
03/28/2023	Motion to Quash Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>Plaintiff's EX PARTE Motion to Quash Subpoena of Plaintiff's Lawyer's Paralegal</i>	Index #185
03/28/2023	Objection Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Objection to Plaintiff's Ex Parte Motion to Quash Subpoena of Plaintiff's Lawyer's Paralegal</i>	Index #186
03/28/2023	Ex Parte Order (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>NO EX PARTE OR EMERGENCY ORDERS ARE ISSUED: The case shall be scheduled for a prompt hearing with Petitioner and Respondent present. A hearing on the ex parte motion and any orders issued is scheduled for: March 30, 2023 at 11:30.</i>	Index #187
03/28/2023	Notice of Decision	Index #188
03/29/2023	Notice of Appeal to Supreme Court Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Rule 7 Notice of Mandatory Appeal</i>	Index #189
03/29/2023	Return of Service Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Copy of Subpoena for Ms Wendy Borrum served 3/27/23 at 11:53 am by Hillsborough County Sheriff's Office</i>	Index #191
03/29/2023	Motion Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Expedited Motion to Strike Prior Testimony re: July 15, 2021 UCCJEA Hearing</i>	Index #192
04/06/2023	Objection Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>Plaintiff's Objection to Defendant's Expedited Motion to Strike Prior Testimony re: July 15,</i>	Index #197

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. 659-2019-DV-00341

2021 UCCJEA Hearing

03/30/2023	CANCELED Hearing	
03/30/2023	Order (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>on Staying Proceedings Pending Appeal</i>	<i>Index #193</i>
03/30/2023	Notice of Decision	<i>Index #194</i>
03/30/2023	Motion for Clarification Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Expedited Motion for Clarification and to Reschedule Ex Parte Hearing</i>	<i>Index #195</i>
04/04/2023	Supreme Court Order <i>Case No. 2023-0181. Notice of Docketing and Mandatory EFiling</i>	<i>Index #196</i>
04/06/2023	Answer Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Plaintiff's Answers to Defendant's Interrogatories to Plaintiff - First Set</i>	<i>Index #198</i>
04/18/2023	Supreme Court Order <i>Case No 2023-0181</i>	<i>Index #199</i>
05/09/2023	Supreme Court Order <i>Case No. 2023-0181. On or before May 25, 2023 the 9th Circuit Court - Nashua Family Div shall submit a brief report informing this court as to whether its Feb 24, 2023 "Oder on Further Ext of DV or Stalking Final Protective Order" was a final order on the merits, or whether the court intended to hold a hearing on the requested extension of the protectiveorder. This order is entered by a single justice (Donovan, J.)</i>	<i>Index #200</i>
05/22/2023	Report (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>Report to Supreme Court Regarding Order on Further Extension of Domestic Violence Protective Order</i>	<i>Index #201</i>
05/30/2023	Supreme Court Order <i>Case No. 2023-0181. Case is accepted.</i>	<i>Index #202</i>
07/19/2023	Supreme Court Order <i>July 14, 2023 Case No. 2022-0284. AFFIRMED</i>	<i>Index #203</i>
08/14/2023	Motion to Vacate Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Ex Parte Motion to Vacate all Orders sicne 5/23/2022</i>	<i>Index #204</i>
08/16/2023	Denied (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>see Index # 207</i>	
08/14/2023	Obj to Motion to Vacate Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>Plaintiff's Objection to Defendant's Ex Parte Motion to Vacate All Orders Since 5/23/2022</i>	<i>Index #205</i>
08/14/2023	Other Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Replication to Plaintiff's Objection to Defendant's Ex Parte Motion to Vacate all Orders Since 5/23/2022</i>	<i>Index #206</i>
08/15/2023	Request for Certificate or Copy Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Order (#10) and NOD (#15), complete #204, #205, #206</i>	<i>Index #209</i>

CASE SUMMARY
CASE NO. 659-2019-DV-00341

08/16/2023	Ex Parte Order (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>REQUEST FOR EX PARTE ORDERS IS DENIED. No hearing is required. The issue of the 2023 extension is before the NH Supreme Court.</i>	Index #207
08/16/2023	Notice of Decision	Index #208
08/18/2023	Supreme Court Order <i>Case No. 2022-0284 (court on July 14, 2023 MANDATE)</i>	Index #210
08/18/2023	Supreme Court Order <i>Case No 2022-0284 court on August 16, 2023 - Relief requested in motion for rehearing and reconsideration denied.</i>	Index #211
08/18/2023	Supreme Court Order <i>Case No. 2022-0284, court on August 16, 2023 MANDATE</i>	Index #212
08/28/2023	Motion to Reconsider Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration of August 16, 2023 Order (#207)</i>	Index #213
09/23/2023	Denied (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>The Court has not overlooked or misapprehended any points of fact or law</i>	
09/25/2023	Notice of Decision	Index #217
08/28/2023	Motion Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Motion for Judicial Notice of Albrecht v. Sternenberg et al., 1:23-cv-00381,(D.N.H.)</i>	Index #214
09/23/2023	Denied (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>For the reasons stated in the Objection</i>	
09/25/2023	Notice of Decision	Index #218
08/31/2023	Obj to Motion to Reconsider Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>Plaintiff's Objection to Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration of Ex Parte Order (#167) and Request for Attorney's Fees</i>	Index #215
08/31/2023	Objection Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>Plaintiff's Objection to Defendant's Motion for Judicial Notice of Albrecht v. Sternenberg et al., 1:23-cv-00381,(D.N.H.)</i>	Index #216
10/20/2023	Notice of Appeal to Supreme Court Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana	Index #219
10/20/2023	Other Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Demand for Jury Trial</i>	Index #220
10/26/2023	Objection Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>Plaintiff's Objection to Defendant's Demand for Jury Trial</i>	Index #224
10/28/2023	Denied (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>There is no constitutional nor statutory right to a jury trial for matters under RSA 173-B.</i>	

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. 659-2019-DV-00341

10/30/2023	Notice of Decision	Index #225
10/24/2023	Supreme Court Order <i>Case No. 2023-0181, the court on October 20, 2023. The relief requested in the plaintiff's memorandum of law is denied. REMANDED</i>	Index #221
10/24/2023	Motion Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Motion for Judicial Notice; United States v. Rahimi, 61 F.4th 443 (5th Cir. 2023)</i>	Index #222
11/15/2023	Denied (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P)	
10/25/2023	Supreme Court Order <i>Case No 2023-0181 The relief requested in the plaintiff's memorandum of law is denied. REMANDED</i>	Index #223
10/30/2023	Motion Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>Plaintiff's Motion to Stay Pending Disposition of Appeal</i>	Index #226
11/13/2023	Denied (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>per Supreme Court Order dated October 20, 2023</i>	
11/01/2023	Supreme Court Order <i>Case No. 2023-0602. Notice of Docketing and Mandatory E-Filing</i>	Index #227
11/01/2023	Other Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Third Set of Requests for Admissions</i>	Index #228
11/01/2023	Other Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Fourth Set of Requests for Admissions</i>	Index #229
11/06/2023	Motion to Vacate Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Expedited Motion to Vacate 12/04/2019 Scheduling Order</i>	Index #230
11/13/2023	Denied (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P)	
11/08/2023	Motion to Strike Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>Plaintiff's Expedited Motion to Strike Defendant's Third and Fourth Sets of Requests for Admissions and Request for Attorney's Fees</i>	Index #231
11/13/2023	Granted in Part (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>Granted as to Request B only</i>	
11/13/2023	Limited Appearance or Withdrawal Party: Attorney - OTHER Landers, Edwin F., ESQ <i>for Kathleen Sternenberg</i>	Index #232
11/13/2023	Motion to Quash Party: Attorney - OTHER Landers, Edwin F., ESQ <i>Kathleen Sternenberg's Emergency Motion to Quash Subpoena and the Protective Order</i>	Index #233
11/15/2023	Hearing <i>Telephonic 866-951-1151 CF 3907180# OP 2516185#</i>	

CASE SUMMARY
CASE NO. 659-2019-DV-00341

11/15/2023	Granted (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>as to Request A</i>	
11/13/2023	Objection Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Objection to Plaintiff's Expedited Motion to Strike Defendant's 3rd & 4th Sets of Requests for Admissions and Request for Attorney's Fee (Index #231)</i>	<i>Index #234</i>
11/13/2023	Motion Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Expedited Motion for Sanctions</i>	<i>Index #235</i>
11/28/2023	Denied (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P)	
11/13/2023	Objection Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>Plaintiff's Objection to Defendant's Expedited Motion to Vacate 12/04/19 Scheduling Order</i>	<i>Index #236</i>
11/14/2023	Appearance Party: Attorney - OTHER Denny, Catherine Anne, ESQ <i>for Admin Judge David King</i>	<i>Index #237</i>
11/14/2023	Motion to Quash Party: Attorney - OTHER Denny, Catherine Anne, ESQ <i>Administrative Judge David D. King's Emergency Motion to Quash</i>	<i>Index #238</i>
11/15/2023	Hearing <i>Telephonic 866-951-1151 CF 3907180# OP 2516185#</i>	
11/15/2023	Granted (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>as to Request A</i>	
11/14/2023	Motion to Quash Party: Attorney - OTHER Denny, Catherine Anne, ESQ <i>General Counsel Erin Creegan's Emergency Motion to Quash</i>	<i>Index #239</i>
11/15/2023	Hearing <i>Telephonic 866-951-1151 CF 3907180# OP 2516185#</i>	
11/15/2023	Granted (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>as to Request A</i>	
11/14/2023	Witness List Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Witness List for Nov 16, 2023 Hearing</i>	<i>Index #240</i>
11/15/2023	Motion Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Expedited Motion in Limine to Exclude all Material Related to Albrecht v. Sternenberg, 1:23-cv-00381, (D.N.H.) from Nov 15, 2023 Hearing</i>	<i>Index #241</i>
11/28/2023	Moot (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>Court addressed at Nov 16, 2023 Hearing</i>	
11/15/2023	Objection Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Partial Objection to Motion to Allow Filing of Motion to Quash by Email</i>	<i>Index #242</i>
11/15/2023	Objection	<i>Index #243</i>

CASE SUMMARY
CASE NO. 659-2019-DV-00341

Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana

Defendant's Objection to Attorney Waystack's Emergency Motion to Quash Subpoena Duces Tecum

11/15/2023	Objection Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Objection to Administrative Judge David D. King's Emergency Motion to Quash</i>	Index #244
11/15/2023	Objection Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Objection to General Counsel Erin Creegan's Emergency Motion to Quash</i>	Index #245
11/15/2023	Objection Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Objection to Kathleen Sternenberg's Emergency Motion to Quash Subpoena and for Protective Order</i>	Index #246
11/15/2023	Findings of Fact/Rulings of Law Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Request - Nov 15 hearing</i>	Index #247
11/28/2023	Order Issued (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>see Index #261</i>	
11/15/2023	Exhibit List Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Exhibit List for Nov 15, 2023 hearing</i>	Index #248
11/15/2023	Motion <i>Emergency Motion to Quash subpoena Duces Tecum filed by Philip R. Waystack Esq</i>	Index #249
11/15/2023	Granted (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P)	
11/15/2023	Supreme Court Order <i>Case No. 2023-0181, the court on Nov 15, 2023. D.S.'s motion for clarification is granted, in part, as follows. D.A.'s motion for late authority is granted. D.A.'s motion for rehearing and reconsideration and his motion to "show cause" are denied without prejudice to his ability to raise any surviving issues in the subsequent appellate proceeding.</i>	Index #250
11/16/2023	Hearing <i>Offers of Proof</i>	
11/16/2023	Proposed Order Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ; Plaintiff Albrecht, Katherine <i>Plaintiff Katherine Albrecht's proposed order Court's order on plaintiff's request for extension of Domestic Violence or Stalking Final Protective Order</i>	Index #251
11/16/2023	Exhibit List Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ; Plaintiff Albrecht, Katherine <i>ptr</i>	Index #252
11/16/2023	Motion in Limine Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Expedited Motion in Limine to Exclude All Evidence and Testimony of Events After 2/24/2023</i>	Index #253
11/16/2023	Findings of Fact/Rulings of Law Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Requests for Findings of Fact and Rulings of Law re: Nov 16, 2023 hearing</i>	Index #254

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. 659-2019-DV-00341

11/28/2023	Order Issued (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>see Index #261</i>	
11/17/2023	Return of Service Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>on Subpoenas</i>	<i>Index #255</i>
11/20/2023	Motion to Quash <i>General Counsel Erin Creegan's Updated Emergency Motion to Quash With Exhibits</i>	<i>Index #256</i>
11/28/2023	Moot (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>Motion to Quash was granted on Nov 15, 2023</i>	
11/20/2023	Objection Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>Plaintiff's Objection to Defendant's Expedited Motion for Sanctions</i>	<i>Index #257</i>
11/20/2023	Motion Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Expedited Motion for Recusal of Hon. Kevin Rauseo</i>	<i>Index #258</i>
11/28/2023	Denied (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>see Order #259</i>	
11/28/2023	Order (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>on Motion to Recuse</i>	<i>Index #259</i>
11/28/2023	Order on Objection to Extension of DV/Stalking Final Order (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>Extension Order expires 12/30/2026. The Court strikes from the protective order the following restriction "Defendant shall not come within 2,000 feet of the property line of the Collinsville Bible Church in Dracut, Massachusetts."</i>	<i>Index #260</i>
11/28/2023	Order (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>on Extension of DVPO</i>	<i>Index #261</i>
11/28/2023	DVP Transmittal Letter/Fax <i>faxed Order on Objection and Order on Extension to NCIC and PD's</i>	<i>Index #262</i>
11/28/2023	Order Issued (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P)	
11/30/2023	Supreme Court Order <i>Case No. 2023-0602 on Nov 27, 2023. On Oct 20, 2023, this court issued an order in case no. 2023-0181, remanding "for a hearing as required by RSA 173-B:5, VI." On Nov 15, 2023, the court issued an order in the same appeal clarifying that "it retains jurisdiction over the appeal pending the trial court's decision following the RSA 173-B:5, VI hearing and any other proceedings that the trial court deems necessary to resolve the issues before it." In light of the remand, this appeal is dismissed as moot. The dismissal is without prejudice to the defendant raising any argument presented in this appeal through supplemental briefing in case no. 2023-0181, if any, and without prejudice to the plaintiff presenting any argument contained in her motion to dismiss in response to any supplemental brief filed by the defendant in case no. 2023-0181. Accordingly, the plaintiff's motion to dismiss is moot. The defendant's motion for partial summary judgment is denied. APPEAL DISMISSED.</i>	<i>Index #263</i>
12/04/2023	Return of Service <i>on Extension</i>	<i>Index #264</i>
12/04/2023	DVP Transmittal Letter/Fax <i>faxed ROS to NCIC</i>	<i>Index #265</i>

CASE SUMMARY
CASE NO. 659-2019-DV-00341

12/08/2023	Motion to Reconsider Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Motion for Rehearing and Reconsideration</i>	Index #266
12/08/2023	Motion to Vacate Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Defendant's Ex Parte Motion to Vacate Order on Objection to Extension of DV/Stalking Final Order (#260 & #261)</i>	Index #267
12/08/2023	Ex Parte Order (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>Request for ex parte orders is denied. No hearing is required.</i>	Index #271
12/08/2023	Motion Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Ex Parte Motion for Ex Parte Writ of Mandamus</i>	Index #268
12/08/2023	Ex Parte Order (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>Request for ex parte orders is denied. No hearing is required.</i>	Index #272
12/08/2023	Motion Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Ex Parte Motion for Remand re: August 26, 2022 Deposition of Judge David King</i>	Index #269
12/08/2023	Ex Parte Order (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>Request for ex parte orders is denied. No hearing is required.</i>	Index #273
12/08/2023	Motion Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Ex Parte Motion for Remand re: United States v. Rahim, 61 F. 4th 443 (5th Cir. 2023)</i>	Index #270
12/08/2023	Ex Parte Order (Judicial Officer: Rauseo, Kevin P) <i>Request for ex parte orders is denied. No hearing is required.</i>	Index #274
12/11/2023	Objection Party: Attorney Fontaine, Michael J., ESQ <i>Plaintiff's Verified Objection to Defendant's Ex Parte Motion to Vacate Order on Objection to Extension of DV/Stalking Final Order (#260 & #261)</i>	Index #275
12/15/2023	Request for Sound Recording Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>2 copies of each Hearing Dates 11/15/2023 and 11/16/2023 (total of 4 audios) pd</i>	Index #276
12/15/2023	Request for Certificate or Copy Party: Defendant Albrecht, Dana <i>Certified Copies of Index #'s 267,271,268,272,269,273,270,274</i>	Index #277

TARGET DATE	TIME STANDARDS	
12/20/2026	Destruction of File (1 yr Ext - 6 years)	12/21/2020 ***Open***

DATE	FINANCIAL INFORMATION
	Defendant Albrecht, Dana Total Charges Total Payments and Credits Balance Due as of 12/28/2023

CASE SUMMARY
CASE NO. 659-2019-DV-00341